

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingmia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/447,307	11/23/1999	KEITA OSHIMA	35.C14054 3655	
5514	7590 06/19/2003			
	ICK CELLA HARPER	EXAMINER		
	ELLER PLAZA L, NY 10112	EVANS, ARTHUR G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	<u>a</u>
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
		•	E)	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED:		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE				
a) (X (is extended to run	onths or continues to run	from the date	of the final rejection	
ы <u>(1</u>	expires three months from the	ne date of the final rejection or as of story period for the response expire	the mailing date of this Adviso later than six months from the	ry Action, whichever is ladde of the final rejection	i. –
	Any extension of time must The date on which the response	be obtained by filing a petition under onse, the petition, and the fee have period of extension and the correst the date of the originally set shorter	r 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposi- been filed is the date of the re conding amount of the fee. An	ed response and the app sponse and also the date y extension fee pursuant	ropriate fee. — e for the to 37 CFR
5/A		dance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). rejection, filed	has been considered with the	following effect, but it is	not deemed
1. 🗱		to the claim and /or specification wil			
٠,	a. There is no convincing presented.	g showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) w	hy the proposed amendment i	s necessary and was not	earlier
	b. They raise new issue:	s that would require further consider	ation and/or search. (See Not	B).	
	c. They raise the issue	of new matter. (See Note).			
	d. They are not deeme appeal.	d to place the application in better for	orm for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying the i	issues for
	e. They present additio	nal claims without cancelling a corre	sponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
	NOTE:				
2. [the non-allowable claims.	ed claims woul			
" T	be as follows:		' '		
	Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected:	1-20			
	However;				
	Applicant's response t	nas overcome the following rejection	(s):		
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or rec	uest for reconsideration has been c	onsidered but does not overco	me the rejection because)
5.	presented.	not be considered because applican		icent reasons why it was	hot earlier
<u></u> Τh	e proposed drawing correction	on 🔲 has 🗌 has not been app	roved by the examiner.	(), \\	De-
0	her		s	HUR G. EVANS ENANGE EVANDERES ENANGES EVANDERES	